

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/678,734		10/04/2000	Yasushi Sugaya	1460.1010	6738		
21171	7590	12/09/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
STAAS (& HALSE	EY LLP	HELLNER	HELLNER, MARK			
		AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	IGTON, D	,		3663			

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)					
•	•	09/678,734		SUGAYA, YASUSHI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	•	Mark Hellner		3663					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap				dress				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	Recognition to communication(s) filed on								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) \(\times \) TI	his action is no	n-final						
2a)☐	,—			secution as to th	e merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.									
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-22</u> is/are withdra		leration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	iirement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 									
Attachment	c(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>i</u>	5)		(PTO-413) Paper Nor atent Application (PT					
J.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/678,734

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Onaka et al.

Onaka et al disclose an optical amplifier that comprises: means (45) for amplifying a wdm signal; means (55) for generating a probe light control signal; means (43) for combining the probe light with signal input to the amplification means; means (33) for detecting the power of light input to the amplification means; and means (39) for controlling the power of the probe light. This structure directly reads on claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 23 and 24. Claim 3 reads on element 47. Claims 8 and 9 read on the descriptions of elements 39 and 38 provided in the detailed specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onaka et al.

Art Unit: 3663

Page 3

Claims 6 and 7 set forth structure that was well known at the time of the present application to control the power of a light source. This structure would have been obvious because Onaka et al teaches that the output of the probe light source be controllable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 703 306 4155.

Mark Heliner

December 1, 2003

MAKHELLMER

3663 Mark Hellue